

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SEARCHED

Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.
707	1, 2, 3, 4	12/10/03	FE
	5, 6, 7, 8	"	"
	10, 100, 101	"	"
	103R, 104-1	"	"
	200	"	"
705	1, 2	"	"
709	104, 201,	"	"
	203, 221,	"	"
	223	"	"
updated		6/4/04	FE

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.

SEARCH NOTES (INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)

	Date	Exmr.
Consulted with Ted Swann, previously assigned Specialist 101 panel. Ted indicated that claim 10 is non-sticky Ted indicated that claims 9 and 19 are fine since they are dependent claims	12/3/03	FE
Consulted with Jack Choules Primary 2177 suggested MPEP 2102 W.C. 100 per ch 92.0	12/4/03	FE
East Search	12/10/03	FE
NPL (ACM, IEEE)	12/4/03	FE
Consulted with Laufer Pincus: he suggested claims 7 & 19 are OK but see 101 panel for claim 10 Pincus suggested that the Declaration 131 is not compliance with MPEP 715.6 7(G) -	6/3/04	FE
	6/5/04	FE
Consulted with 101 panel (Ted Swann, Pat Selee, & Paul Sewell) They said claim 10 is satisfactory after the amendments	2/9/04	FE